

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Chester
Given Name	Tina
Person ID	1287317
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Chester
Given Name	Tina
Person ID	1287317
Title	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Brownfield sites only. Do not take any more green areas. Wildlife needs a home too.
Family Name	Chester
Given Name	Tina
Person ID	1287317
Title	JP-H 2 Affordability of New Housing
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Chester
Given Name	Tina
Person ID	1287317
Title	JP-H 3 Type Size and Design of New Housing
Type	Web
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	New housing doesn't look good.
Family Name	Chester
Given Name	Tina
Person ID	1287317
Title	JP-H 4 Density of New Housing
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Chester
Given Name	Tina
Person ID	1287317
Title	JPA 20: Castleton Sidings
Type	Web
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>This brownfield site is okay but not the Green belt area. The Castleton area at the moment is being swamped with planning for housing and development. We have this site, Trows Farm (within this document), Castleton Station and immediate surrounding area, the old Carcraft site, Trub Farm up for sale with lapsed planning and Royle Road (the old Dunlop Mill site). St Martins View (the old Whipp & Bourne site) was developed a number of years ago. Also the plans for Stakehill within this document impact upon Castleton and it's residents too. When you consider the total planned for Castleton and the surrounding areas, we are being completely enveloped with buildings. This is so wrong.</p> <p>I don't want Castleton to turn into a big building site with houses and buildings everywhere. The green open spaces were a big factor in my childhood and led to my education in Wildlife and Conservation. They are a big part of my</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

	<p>children's growing up too. The last 20 months, people in Castleton have rediscovered these areas and appreciate them.</p> <p>Wildlife in Castleton is important. We have to protect what he have instead of digging everything up and concreting everywhere. Wildlife needs homes too. You create these homes and then in 15/20 years, you build a bit further out and so it continues. This has to stop.</p> <p>There are plenty of Brownfield sites in Rochdale that could be developed without ever taking anymore green land. These need to be utilised.</p>
Family Name	Chester
Given Name	Tina
Person ID	1287317
Title	JPA 25: Trows Farm
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>This proposed site development is completely unjustified. The green fields it encompasses are a delight in any season, deer, foxes, rabbits, butterflies, bees, and the foliage. A walk bordering this land lifts the spirits. The Castleton area at the moment is being swamped with planning for housing and development. We have this site, Castleton Sidings (within this document), Castleton Station and immediate surrounding area, the old Carcraft site, Trub Farm up for sale with lapsed planning and Royle Road (the old Dunlop Mill site). St Martins View (the old Whipp & Bourne site) was developed a number of years ago. Also the plans for Stakehill within this document impact upon Castleton and it's residents too. When you consider the total planned for Castleton and the surrounding areas, we are being completely enveloped with buildings. This is so wrong.</p> <p>I don't want Castleton to turn into a big building site with houses and buildings everywhere. The green open spaces were a big factor in my childhood and led to my education in Wildlife and Conservation. They are a big part of my children's growing up too. The last 20 months, people in Castleton have rediscovered these areas and appreciate them.</p> <p>Wildlife in Castleton is important. We have to protect what he have instead of digging everything up and concreting everywhere. Wildlife needs homes too. You create these homes and then in 15/20 years, you build a bit further out and so it continues. This has to stop.</p> <p>Also the infrastructure within Castleton is at breaking point already. Schools at full capacity despite increases at each school. I was a Governor at Castleton Primary School for 12 years and was the Chair for 6. I know the pressures schools face. Increasing capacity at schools, doesn't make a school better. Roads are bad and the number of HGVs passing through Castleton is too many. Housing is justified that new people will help to build</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

a community and will add to the area. This doesn't happen. They will all just commute to other areas for jobs.

There are plenty of Brownfield sites in Rochdale that could be developed without ever taking anymore green land. These need to be utilised.